#### Initial screening EqIA template

Prior to making the decision, the Council's decision makers considered the following: guide to decision making under the Equality Act 2010:

The Council is a public authority. All public authorities when exercising public functions are caught by the Equality Act 2010 which became law in December 2011. In making any decisions and proposals, the Council - specifically members and officers - are required to have **due regard** to the 8 protected characteristics defined under the Act. These protected characteristics are: **age, disability, race, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, religion or belief, sex and/or sexual orientation**.

The decision maker(s) must specifically consider those protected by the above characteristics:

- (a) to seek to ensure equality of treatment towards service users and employees;
- (b) to identify the potential impact of the proposal or decision upon them.

If the Council fails to give 'due regard', the Council is likely to face a Court challenge. This will either be through a judicial review of its decision making, the decision may be quashed and/or returned for it to have to be made again, which can be costly and time-consuming diversion for the Council. When considering 'due regard', decision makers must consider the following principles:

- 1. the decision maker is responsible for identifying whether there is an issue and discharging it. The threshold for one of the duties to be triggered is low and will be triggered where there is any issue which needs at least to be addressed.
- 2. **the duties arise <u>before</u> the decision or proposal is made, and not after and are ongoing**. They require **advance** consideration by the policy decision maker with conscientiousness, rigour and an open mind. The duty is similar to an open consultation process.
- 3. the decision maker must be **aware** of the needs of the duty.
- 4. the **impact of the proposal or decision must be <u>properly understood</u> <b>first**. The amount of regard due will depend on the individual circumstances of each case. The greater the potential impact, the greater the regard.
- 5. **Get your facts straight first!** There will be no due regard at all if the decision maker or those advising it make a fundamental error of fact (e.g. because of failing to properly inform yourself about the impact of a particular decision).
- 6. What does 'due regard' entail?
  - a. Collection and consideration of data and information;
  - b. ensuring data is sufficient to assess the decision/any potential discrimination/ensure equality of opportunity;
  - c. proper appreciation of the extent, nature and duration of the proposal or decision.
- 7. **Responsibility** for discharging can't be delegated or sub-contracted (although an equality impact assessment ("EIA")can be undertaken by officers, decision makers must be sufficiently aware of the outcome).
- 8. **Document the process** of having due regard! Keep records and make it transparent! If in any doubt carry out an equality impact assessment ("EIA"), to test whether a policy will impact differentially or not. Evidentially an EIA will be the best way of defending a legal challenge. See hyperlink for the questions you should consider

http://occweb/files/seealsodocs/93561/Equalities%20-

%20Initial%20Equality%20Impact%20Assessment%20screening%20templat e.doc

1. Which group (s) of people has been identified as being disadvantaged by your proposals? What are the equality impacts?

This is an assessment of the revised Disciplinary Policy and Procedure, which will replace the existing policy that was introduced in January 2009. There are no anticipated adverse effects for groups with protected characteristics.

2. In brief, what changes are you planning to make to your current or proposed new or changed policy, strategy, procedure, project or service to minimise or eliminate the adverse equality impacts?

Please provide further details of the proposed actions, timetable for making the changes and the person(s) responsible for making the changes on the resultant action plan

The principle changes relate to providing clear direction for the investigatory process and procedure and any subsequent hearings and appeals. Key roles and responsibilities are also identified. It also seeks to ensure that any investigation focuses on collating facts to present to appropriate decision makers

3. Please provide details of whom you will consult on the proposed changes and if you do not plan to consult, please provide the rationale behind that decision.

Please note that you are required to involve disabled people in decisions that impact on them

The Councils' Corporate Management team, Law & Governance team, Unite and Unison leads, members of the Human Resources Team including the Equalities & Diversity Business Partner were invited to comment on the proposed policy and reach agreement on the changes. The Policy will go before Council on 8<sup>th</sup> October 2012 for approval.

4. Can the adverse impacts you identified during the initial screening be justified without making any adjustments to the existing or new policy, strategy, procedure, project or service?

Please set out the basis on which you justify making no adjustments

There are no adverse impacts envisaged upon those with protected characteristics.

5. You are legally required to monitor and review the proposed changes after implementation to check they work as planned and to screen for unexpected equality impacts.

Please provide details of how you will monitor/evaluate or review your proposals and when the review will take place

Policies will be subject to regular reviews of any changes made to legislation/directives by central government. Any challenges to the policy that result in gaps or irregularities being found will be amended following further review, agreement between the employer and the local trade unions, and subsequent sign off from CEB/Council (if required).

All managers will receive training on this policy via e-learning supported by any necessary workshops and regular meetings with respective service area Business Partners.

The effectiveness of the policy will be monitored through the number of disciplinary investigations, hearings and appeals that take place.

Lead officer responsible for signing off the EgIA: Simon Howick

Role: Head of Human Resources and Facilities

Date: 17<sup>th</sup> September 2012

Note, please consider & include the following areas:

- Summary of the impacts of any individual policies
- Specific impact tests (e.g. statutory equality duties,)
- Post implementation review plan (consider the basis for the review, objectives and how these will be measured, impacts and outcomes including the "unknown")
- Potential data sources

#### Initial screening EqIA template

Prior to making the decision, the Council's decision makers considered the following: guide to decision making under the Equality Act 2010:

The Council is a public authority. All public authorities when exercising public functions are caught by the Equality Act 2010 which became law in December 2011. In making any decisions and proposals, the Council - specifically members and officers - are required to have **due regard** to the 8 protected characteristics defined under the Act. These protected characteristics are: **age, disability, race, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, religion or belief, sex and/or sexual orientation**.

The decision maker(s) must specifically consider those protected by the above characteristics:

- (a) to seek to ensure equality of treatment towards service users and employees;
- (b) to identify the potential impact of the proposal or decision upon them.

If the Council fails to give 'due regard', the Council is likely to face a Court challenge. This will either be through a judicial review of its decision making, the decision may be quashed and/or returned for it to have to be made again, which can be costly and time-consuming diversion for the Council. When considering 'due regard', decision makers must consider the following principles:

- 9. **the decision maker is responsible for identifying whether there is an issue and discharging it**. The threshold for one of the duties to be triggered is low and will be triggered where there is any issue which needs at least to be addressed.
- 10. the duties arise <u>before</u> the decision or proposal is made, and not after and are ongoing. They require advance consideration by the policy decision maker with conscientiousness, rigour and an open mind. The duty is similar to an open consultation process.
- 11. the decision maker must be **aware** of the needs of the duty.
- 12. the **impact of the proposal or decision must be <u>properly understood</u> <b>first**. The amount of regard due will depend on the individual circumstances of each case. The greater the potential impact, the greater the regard.
- 13. **Get your facts straight first!** There will be no due regard at all if the decision maker or those advising it make a fundamental error of fact (e.g. because of failing to properly inform yourself about the impact of a particular decision).
- 14. What does 'due regard' entail?
  - a. Collection and consideration of data and information;
  - b. ensuring data is sufficient to assess the decision/any potential discrimination/ensure equality of opportunity;
  - c. proper appreciation of the extent, nature and duration of the proposal or decision.
- 15. **Responsibility** for discharging can't be delegated or sub-contracted (although an equality impact assessment ("EIA")can be undertaken by officers, decision makers must be sufficiently aware of the outcome).
- 16. **Document the process** of having due regard! Keep records and make it transparent! If in any doubt carry out an equality impact assessment ("EIA"), to test whether a policy will impact differentially or not. Evidentially an EIA will be the best way of defending a legal challenge. See hyperlink for the questions you should consider

http://occweb/files/seealsodocs/93561/Equalities%20-

%20Initial%20Equality%20Impact%20Assessment%20screening%20templat e.doc

6. Which group (s) of people has been identified as being disadvantaged by your proposals? What are the equality impacts?

This is an assessment of the revised Drugs and Alcohol Policy, which will replace the existing policy. There are no anticipated adverse effects for groups with protected characteristics.

7. In brief, what changes are you planning to make to your current or proposed new or changed policy, strategy, procedure, project or service to minimise or eliminate the adverse equality impacts?

Please provide further details of the proposed actions, timetable for making the changes and the person(s) responsible for making the changes on the resultant action plan

There are no adverse equalities impacts identified. The misuse use of drugs or alcohol is not a disability as defined by the Disability Discrimination Act 2010. Medical conditions and health problems that arise as a result of the use of drugs or alcohol may, however, meet the definition criteria of being a disability under the Act. The Council's policy is to provide support to employee's who accept that they need help.

8. Please provide details of whom you will consult on the proposed changes and if you do not plan to consult, please provide the rationale behind that decision.

Please note that you are required to involve disabled people in decisions that impact on them

The Councils' Corporate Management team, Law & Governance team, Unite and Unison leads, members of the Human Resources Team including the Equalities & Diversity Business Partner were invited to comment on the proposed policy and reach agreement on the changes. The Policy will go before Council on 8<sup>th</sup> October 2012 for approval.

Disabled people have been involved [by inviting Lynne Hooper, the Council's access officer, for suggestions], and involving the trade unions in the proposals by consulting them.

9. Can the adverse impacts you identified during the initial screening be justified without making any adjustments to the existing or new policy, strategy, procedure, project or service?

Please set out the basis on which you justify making no adjustments

There are no adverse impacts envisaged upon those with protected characteristics.

10. You are legally required to monitor and review the proposed changes after implementation to check they work as planned and to screen for unexpected equality impacts.

Please provide details of how you will monitor/evaluate or review your proposals and when the review will take place

The policy will be subject to regular reviews of any changes made to legislation/ directives by central government. Any challenges to the policy that result in gaps or irregularities being found will be amended following further review, agreement between the employer and the local trade unions, and subsequent sign off from CEB/Council (if required).

All managers will receive training on this policy via e-learning supported by any necessary workshops and regular meetings with respective service area Business Partners.

The policy will be monitored by reviewing the number of issues raised and take up and effectiveness of the support mechanisms.

Lead officer responsible for signing off the EgIA: Simon Howick

Role: Head of Human Resources and Facilities

Date: 17<sup>th</sup> September 2012

Note, please consider & include the following areas:

- Summary of the impacts of any individual policies
- Specific impact tests (e.g. statutory equality duties,)
- Post implementation review plan (consider the basis for the review, objectives and how these will be measured, impacts and outcomes including the "unknown")
- Potential data sources